

Strong evidences of local control of thunderstorm movement appear in the statistics for Titusville, Pensacola, and Savannah. While there is a general easterly movement in each of these cases, as over the adjoining territory, there is, on the other hand, such a preponderance of storms from one particular direction as to be explicable only on the ground of local influences.

At all of the stations examined, except Key West and Tampa, there is a noticeable absence of storms moving from the east, and this is true whether the station is situated on the coast or inland.

There does not appear to be any definite relation between surface winds and the direction of thunderstorm movement. During August and September the influence of the northeast trades is felt as far north as the Carolina coast; the prevailing northeasterly and easterly winds do not, however, during these months, appear to exert any appreciable effect on the direction of thunderstorm movement.

Percentage of thunderstorms that have been observed moving from each of the eight principal points of the compass, January, 1892, to September, 1896.

	N.	NE.	E.	SE.	S.	SW.	W.	NW.
New Orleans.....	5	13	4	16	9	41	6	5
Mobile.....	8	9	4	17	12	20	15	15
Montgomery.....	5	7	4	4	3	32	25	21
Pensacola.....	4	21	5	5	6	27	6	25
Tampa.....	4	8	21	17	11	16	16	7
Key West.....	3	11	35	19	15	10	7	1
Jupiter.....	7	5	5	10	10	37	14	12
Titusville.....	1	0	2	3	7	53	10	24
Jacksonville.....	4	13	3	10	5	29	14	21
Savannah.....	3	4	1	2	7	38	42	12
Atlanta.....	13	7	4	4	3	27	24	19
Wilmington.....	4	12	3	5	4	29	14	29
Charlotte.....	12	8	1	2	10	23	26	16
Hatteras.....	1	2	5	8	6	30	29	19

The table below shows the distribution of thunderstorms throughout the year. As before stated, the period of maximum frequency falls in July and August at all stations except Jupiter, Charlotte, and Montgomery. At the first named a primary maximum occurs in May and June, with a secondary maximum in August. At Charlotte the maximum occurs in June and July, while at Montgomery (and also in a less marked degree at Mobile) the maximum period includes the three summer months June, July, and August. Winter thunderstorms occur mostly at New Orleans, Mobile, and Montgomery. The record for Pensacola is incomplete, the direction of motion of a large number of storms not being recorded.

Number of thunderstorms of which the direction of motion was observed from January, 1892, to September, 1896.

Stations.	January.	February.	March.	April.	May.	June.	July.	August.	September.	October.	November.	December.	Total.
New Orleans, La.....	3	6	10	10	24	25	38	38	11	1	1	4	171
Mobile, Ala.....	3	10	13	10	10	42	58	70	18	6	1	3	265
Montgomery, Ala.....	3	4	5	12	18	43	39	37	2	4	6	6	203
Pensacola, Fla.....	3	3	4	4	14	12	27	20	9	0	0	0	99
Tampa, Fla.....	3	3	6	4	15	20	34	36	6	0	0	0	132
Key West, Fla.....	3	1	1	1	1	17	38	53	23	5	1	0	154
Jupiter, Fla.....	3	3	13	26	26	31	17	19	1	1	1	0	110
Titusville, Fla.....	0	1	6	10	13	30	46	46	3	3	3	3	196
Jacksonville, Fla.....	4	5	5	10	13	33	55	79	22	2	1	1	244
Savannah, Ga.....	3	3	7	8	26	36	64	69	11	0	2	1	232
Atlanta, Ga.....	1	1	1	1	11	16	25	31	1	1	1	1	103
Wilmington, N. C.....	3	1	1	15	30	32	54	31	10	3	0	1	188
Charlotte, N. C.....	1	1	1	15	26	35	35	28	14	0	1	1	163
Hatteras, N. C.....	0	1	7	7	18	15	24	16	4	3	0	2	97

LOW PRESSURE IN ST. LOUIS TORNADO.

By JULIUS BAIER.

In a footnote, on page 77 of the REVIEW for March, 1896, Mr. Frankenfield gives a preliminary note as to the low pressure observed at the center of the St. Louis tornado by a son of Mr. Klemm. On account of the interest that attaches to any such

observation, a special study of the subject has been made, at his request, by Mr. Julius Baier, a civil engineer of St. Louis, whose report we herewith give in full. Mr. Frankenfield, to whom the report is addressed, states that the minimum reading, 671 mm., with an uncertainty of 5 mm. either way, when reduced to sea level gives a reduced reading of 26.94 inches, with an uncertainty of 0.20 inch, which pressure is lower than his estimate of 27.30, as published in the March REVIEW. Mr. Baier's report is as follows:

The barometer and also a thermometer were fastened to a carved wooden frame and at the time of storm hung near the window on the first floor of the home of the late Richard Klemm, ex-park commissioner of the city. As the storm struck the house a son of Mr. Klemm who was sitting in the room at the time was startled, on looking toward the window, to see the index hand of the barometer almost opposite its usual position, that is, pointing almost vertically downward. This was immediately preceding the damage to the roof, upper walls, and contents of the house and the general excitement incident to the same. The barometer was picked up from the floor after the storm, but only the wooden frame had been injured. The observation is dependent, not on reading and remembering the figures on the scale, but on noting a definite position of the index hand, a fact which would readily impress itself on the mind and be easily remembered. [Accompanying sketch, showing aneroid face and probable position of the index is not reproduced.]

Through the courtesy of Prof. F. E. Nipher, I have recently tested the barometer under reduced pressure at the physical laboratory of the Washington University. I inclose a copy of the results in detail as well as a sketch showing graduations on the scale of the instrument. The pressure was run down once and up three times with fairly uniform results, as compared with readings of the level of the mercury in a U-tube gauge. The large error of instrument at the lower readings is probably due to the fact that the recording mechanism is near its limit. Readings in column No. 1 were taken while reducing the pressure; No. 2, while gradually raising the pressure; Nos. 3 and 4 were taken by successively exhausting to the lowest point and then making the index balance for some little time at each reading as the pressure was raised. [Probably this means that the aneroid index and the mercury gauge stood at the same readings for some little time.] The last reading was made with greatest care and given most weight in averaging. Observations correct within one or two millimeters.

I think it is safe to assume an observed reading of, say, 685 mm., which when corrected, becomes 671 as the actual pressure [for the minimum pressure, May 27, in the center of the tornado], with possible error of, say, 5 mm. either way. The instrument has on it the name of W. Schriebl, Heilbronn.

Comparisons of aneroid with a mercury gauge.—The initial barometer pressure in the laboratory, i. e., that pressing on the outside of the mercurial gauge, was derived as follows: Mercurial barometer 29.47 inches, or 748.5 mm.; attached thermometer 75° F.; correction for temperature 3.2 mm.; corrected mercurial barometer of the physical laboratory, 745.3 mm., which corresponds to the reading 0 mm. on the mercury gauge. As the pressure was diminished within the receiver of the air pump the amount of exhaustion was indicated both by the rise in the readings of the mercury gauge and by the fall in the readings of the inclosed aneroid. The comparative readings are shown in the following table:

Aneroid.		Mercury gauge.					Correction to aneroid.	Pressure by cor- rected aneroid.
Reading.	Fall.	Readings.				Mean.		
		1	2	3	4			
mm.	mm.	mm.	mm.	mm.	mm.	mm.	mm.	mm.
745	0	0	0	0	0	0	0	745
730	25	26	26	26	— 1	719
715	30	34	32	32	— 2	713
710	35	38	38	— 3	707
705	40	44	44	43	43	— 3	702
700	45	50	50	— 5	695
695	50	58	58	56	56	— 6	689
690	55	67	63	66	65	— 10	680
685	60	76	74	74	73	74	— 14	671
680	65	85	83	83	83	— 18	662

[Owing to the imperfect elasticity of the ordinary aneroid boxes the corrections deduced from comparisons rapidly made in a vacuum chamber are not always applicable to observations made under the slower changes that occur in the natural atmosphere. In the present case, however, the corrections deduced by Mr. Baier are probably more accurate than the original observation by Mr. Klemm.—Ed.]